



#### Objective Lightning Forecasting at KSC/CCAFS using Cloud-to-Ground Lightning Surveillance System Data



Winnie Lambert and Mark Wheeler AMU/ENSCO, Inc. William Roeder USAF 45th Weather Squadron

Applied Meteorology Unit





### Motivation



- 45 WS provides lightning probability for the day
- Subjective analysis of model and observational data
- Performance of current objective tool, Neumann-Pfeffer Index, worse than 1-day persistence
- Forecasters requested new objective tool
- Results from 2 research projects used in development
  - Everitt (1999) developed logistic regression equations that improved skill over Neumann-Pfeffer
  - Lericos et al (2002) identified major flow regimes over Florida and associated lightning distributions





### **Data Sources**

- POR May-September (warm season) 1989 - 2003
- Cloud-to-Ground Lightning Surveillance System (CGLSS)
- CCAFS 1000 UTC sounding
- Florida 1200 UTC soundings:
  - Jacksonville (1995 2003)
  - Tampa (1989 2003)
  - Miami (1995 2003)
  - Waycross GA (1989 1994)
  - West Palm Beach (1989 1994)









### CGLSS Data



- Filtered to only include:
  - 45 WS lightning advisory areas
  - Between 0700-Midnight EDT
- Determined lightning / nonlightning occurrence by day
- Used 3 ways:
  - Predictand for the equations
  - Daily climatological frequency of lightning occurrence
  - 1-day persistence







### **Sounding Data**



# CCAFS 1000 UTC 3 soundings/day 1000/1500/2300 UTC 10 stability indices 1200 UTC MIA/TBW/JAX Average wind direction in

- 1000 700 mb layer defined flow regime
- Lightning frequencies calculated for each flow regime
  - Each individual month
  - Entire warm season

| Flow Regime                     | Total #<br>Days | # Ltg<br>Days | Ltg<br>Prob |  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| SW-1<br>Ridge S of MIA          | 271             | 179           | 66 %        |  |
| SW-2<br>Ridge between MIA/TBW   | 218             | 158           | 72 %        |  |
| SE-1<br>Ridge between TBW/JAX   | 283             | 143           | 51 %        |  |
| SE-2<br>Ridge N of JAX          | 218             | 85            | 39 %        |  |
| NW                              | 93              | 40            | 43 %        |  |
| NE                              | 100             | 18            | 18 %        |  |
| <b>Other (Regime Undefined)</b> | 945             | 418           | 44 %        |  |
| TOTALS                          | 2128            | 1041          | 49 %        |  |





### SW-1 Flow Regime Example







 Flashes per km² per regime day

 .02
 0.5
 0.8
 1.2
 1.5
 1.8
 2.1
 2.4
 >2.4



Applied Meteorology Unit



### **Sounding Data**



# CCAFS 1000 UTC 3 soundings/day 1000/1500/2300 UTC

- 10 stability indices
- 1200 UTC MIA/TBW/JAX
  - Average wind direction in 1000 – 700 mb layer defined flow regime
  - Lightning frequencies calculated for each flow regime
    - Each individual month
    - Entire warm season

| Flow Regime                     | Total #<br>Days | # Ltg<br>Days | Ltg<br>Prob |  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| SW-1<br>Ridge S of MIA          | 271             | 179           | 66 %        |  |
| SW-2<br>Ridge between MIA/TBW   | 218             | 158           | 72 %        |  |
| SE-1<br>Ridge between TBW/JAX   | 283             | 143           | 51 %        |  |
| SE-2<br>Ridge N of JAX          | 218             | 85            | 39 %        |  |
| NW                              | 93              | 40            | 43 %        |  |
| NE                              | 100             | 18            | 18 %        |  |
| <b>Other (Regime Undefined)</b> | 945             | 418           | 44 %        |  |
| TOTALS                          | 2128            | 1041          | 49 %        |  |





## **Equation Development**



- Data stratified into development (13 years) and testing (2 years) data sets
- Logistic Regression:  $y = \frac{e^{(b_0 + b_1 x_1 + ... + b_k x_k)}}{1 + e^{(b_0 + b_1 x_1 + ... + b_k x_k)}}$
- One equation for each month

| Predictors for each month |                   |                   |                   |                   |  |  |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Мау                       | June              | July              | August            | September         |  |  |
| Thompson Index            | 800-600 mb RH     | Total Totals      | K-Index           | Persistence       |  |  |
| Flow Regime               | Persistence       | Persistence       | Flow Regime       | Flow Regime       |  |  |
| Persistence               | Lifted Index      | 800-600 mb RH     | Total Totals      | 800-600 mb RH     |  |  |
| Daily Climatology         | Flow Regime       | Daily Climatology | Daily Climatology | Daily Climatology |  |  |
| 500 mb Temp               | Daily Climatology | Flow Regime       | 800-600 mb RH     | Lifted Index      |  |  |
|                           |                   |                   | Persistence       |                   |  |  |





### **Equation Testing**



- Brier Skill Score: Percent improvement in skill over a forecast benchmark
- 4 forecast benchmarks
- New equations improved skill over all benchmark methods

| % Improvement over Benchmark Methods |     |     |     |     |     |  |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|
| Forecast Method                      | Мау | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |  |
| 1-Day Persistence                    | 31  | 53  | 38  | 42  | 43  |  |
| Daily Climatology                    | 27  | 18  | 27  | 12  | 21  |  |
| Monthly Climatology                  | 34  | 20  | 27  | 16  | 22  |  |
| Flow Regime                          | 34  | 13  | 20  | 8   | 21  |  |









### **Reliability Diagram**

Purple curve:

Perfect reliability Blue curve: Equation reliability

Inset Histogram Number of probability values in each bin







### **Equation Testing**



### **Probability Distributions**

Purple curve:

Lightning days Blue curve: Non-lightning days







### Conclusions



- New equations out-performed 4 forecast methods
- Good reliability, slight tendency to over-forecast
- Will be transitioned to operations
- Provides first guess to be used along with other data and forecaster experience



AMU Website: http://science.ksc.nasa.gov/amu

